

ORTHOGONAL PAIRS AND MUTUALLY UNBIASED BASES

Alexey Bondal* and Ilya Zhdanovskiy†

October 20, 2015

1 Introduction

An *orthogonal pair* in a semisimple Lie algebra is a pair of Cartan subalgebras which are orthogonal with respect to the Killing form. Description of orthogonal pairs in a given Lie algebra is an important step in the classification of *orthogonal decompositions*, i.e. decompositions of the Lie algebra into the sum of Cartan subalgebras pairwise orthogonal with respect to the Killing form.

Orthogonal decompositions come up firstly in the theory of integer lattices in the paper by Thompson [23]. Then the theory of such decompositions was substantially developed [16]. The classification problem of orthogonal pairs in $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ is closely related to the classification of complex Hadamard $n \times n$ matrices [16], [4].

Independently, the study in Quantum Theory brought into light the notion of mutually unbiased bases, objects of constant use in Quantum Information Theory, Quantum Tomography, etc. [8], [21]. It was revealed that mutually unbiased bases are a unitary version of orthogonal pairs [4]. This makes a link of the subject to various vibrant problems in Mathematical Physics.

One of the reasons why mutually unbiased bases are important in practice is that they provide a crucial mathematical tool that allows to transfer quantum information with minimal loss of it in the channel. Reliable protocols in quantum channels are based on a choice of maximal number of mutually unbiased bases in the relevant vector space of quantum states of transmitted particles. For instance, protocol BB84, which utilizes 3 such bases in a 2 dimensional vector space, enables to significantly extend the distance between the source and the receiver of quantum information. Constructing maximal number of mutually unbiased bases in vector spaces of higher dimensional is important for producing reliable protocols in quantum channels.

Also, one of the important problems of quantum teleportation is to check the result of purity of the teleportation by means of Quantum Tomography. This is used in real experiments on teleportation of entangled particles (cf. [17]). The Quantum Tomography with minimal error bar is again based on mutually unbiased bases [5], [9].

*Steklov Institute of Mathematics, Moscow, and Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan, and HSE Laboratory of algebraic geometry, Moscow, and The Institute for Fundamental Science, Moscow

†MIPT, Moscow, and HSE Laboratory of algebraic geometry, Moscow

Despite of simple definition, the classification of orthogonal pairs is a very hard problem of algebraic geometric flavor. We will consider pairs in Lie algebra $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$. According to the famous Winnie-the-Pooh conjecture [14], orthogonal decompositions are possible in this algebra when n is a power of prime number only. This suggests the idea that the behavior of the objects under the study strongly depend on the arithmetic properties of the number n . For $n = 1, 2, 3$, there is a unique, up to natural symmetries, orthogonal pair. For $n = 5$, there are three of them [15], [19], while, for $n = 4$ (the first non-prime integer), there is a one dimensional family of pairs parameterized by a rational curve.

The first positive integer which is not a power of prime is $n = 6$. Winnie-the-Pooh conjecture is open even for this case. Researchers in the quantum information theory have independently come to the unitary version of the Winnie-the-Pooh conjecture, which claims non-existence of $n + 1$ mutually unbiased bases in the n -dimensional complex space [14] when n is not a power of prime. The case $n = 6$ is the subject of problem number 13 in the popular list of problems in Quantum Information Theory [20].

In this paper, we outline the proof of existence of a 4-dimensional family of orthogonal pairs in Lie algebra $sl(6, \mathbb{C})$. The existence of such a family was conjectured by the authors (unpublished). Independently, mathematical physicists came to the conjecture on existence of a 4-dimensional family of pairs of mutually unbiased bases in \mathbb{C}^6 [22],[18]. Despite of many efforts the proof of the existence of the family was not available until recently [3]. Our proof is quite involved and requires a lot of algebraic geometry. In this paper, we give a relatively short survey of the main steps of the proof and describe explicit constructions that lead to the existence of the family.

Then, we give an application of the result on the algebraic geometric family of pairs to the study of mutually unbiased bases. We show the existence of a real 4-dimensional manifold parameterizing pairs of such bases in \mathbb{C}^6 , thus confirming the conjecture of physicists. The proof is based on construction of a principal homogeneous bundle over the locus $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ parameterizing pairs of mutually unbiased bases.

In [1], we interpreted orthogonal pairs and decompositions as representations of the algebra $B(\Gamma)$ for a suitable choice of graph Γ (see section 2.2). These algebras are so-called *homotopes* over the path algebras of graph Γ considered as a topological space. In its turn, path algebras of the graphs are Morita equivalent to the group algebras of fundamental groups of the graphs. This is useful for calculating the moduli space of representations of $B(\Gamma)$. Orthogonal pairs in $sl(n)$ correspond to representations of algebra $B(\Gamma)$, where Γ is the complete bipartite graphs $\Gamma_{n,n}$.

One of the key point of our proof is a hidden geometry of elliptic fibration of a moduli spaces, X , of 6 dimensional representations of $B(\Gamma_{3,3})$, where $\Gamma_{3,3}$ is a full bipartite graph of length $(3, 3)$. We define 3 functions on X which determine a map $X \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, where \mathcal{U} is a three dimensional affine space. After factorization of X by permutation group $S_3 \times S_3$, the fibre is actually isomorphic to (an open affine subset in) two disjoint copies of an elliptic curve. The profit of this map is that the original problem of describing orthogonal pairs in $sl(6, \mathbb{C})$ can be interpreted in terms of 'gluing' four copies of X in such a way that all constructions are basically implemented relatively over \mathcal{U} . The geometry of the elliptic fibration is a powerful tool that eventually allowed us to show the existence of the 4-dimensional family. In particular,

we study the interplay of relevant involutions acting on the elliptic fibers. This part is based on heavy use of algebraic geometry. Let us mention important formula (12) which probably needs a more conceptual explanation than just a verification.

If we think about the main steps of the proof in terms of the 6×6 matrix A that conjugates one Cartan subalgebra in the orthogonal pair to the other one (*suitable* or *generalized Hadamard* matrix), then we first present this matrix in 2 blocks of 3×6 matrices and then decompose each of these 3×6 blocks into two 3×3 blocks.

Equivalently, the first decomposition is about decomposing the set of vertices in one of the rows of the full bipartite graph $\Gamma_{6,6}$ into two disjoint subsets with 3 elements in each. This has a geometric interpretation in the statement of theorem 12 that the higher dimensional components of moduli space $X(6,6)$ of 6-dimensional representations of algebra $B_{6,6}$, a quotient of algebra $B(\Gamma_{6,6})$, are birationally identified with fiber product of two copies of representation moduli spaces $X(3,6)$ for the algebra $B_{3,6}$, which is a quotient of $B(\Gamma_{3,6})$.

Further, the vertices in the row of length 6 in the full bipartite graph $\Gamma_{3,6}$ are decomposed into two disjoint subsets with 3 elements in each. This boils down to the decomposition of the unique 4-dimensional component of moduli space $X(3,6)$ of representations for $B_{3,6}$ into a fiber product of two copies of moduli $X = X_{3,3}$ for representations of algebra $B(\Gamma_{3,3})$ as in theorem 9. In the text, we do this in the reverse order: first decompose $X = X_{3,6}$ and then $X = X_{6,6}$.

The fiber products are taken over moduli spaces of representations for algebras $A(n)$, $n = 3, 6$ (see 2.5). We construct Morita equivalence of algebra $A(n)$ with the deformed preprojective algebra, for arbitrary n . The deformed preprojective algebras are intensively studied by many authors (cf. [10], [6]). For our purposes, this Morita equivalence is important, because we can use a result of Crawley-Boevey [7] to conclude about irreducibility of representation moduli space $Y(n)$ for $A(n)$. The symplectic geometry of $Y(n)$ is a part of the symplectic approach to the study of pairs of mutually unbiased bases discussed in [2], where its relation via mirror symmetry to the Birkhoff-Von Neumann polytope of doubly stochastic matrices was discovered.

We construct an involution on the quotient space $X(3,6)/S_3$. The crucial step in our argument is to show that this involution agrees with a map $X(3,6)/S_3 \rightarrow Y(6)$ and an involution σ' on $Y(6)$. The proof of this fact (Proposition 16) uses the property of automorphisms on varieties of general type to be of finite order. We use the point $x_0 \in X(6,6)$ corresponding to the standard pair of Cartan subalgebras, which has a regular behavior with respect to our constructions, to prove the existence of a 4-dimensional component that contains this point.

Then, we shift our attention to mutually unbiased bases. We compare space $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ parameterizing the pairs of mutually unbiased bases with the space \mathcal{M}^{θ} parameterizing stable points of an anti-holomorphic involution θ acting on the moduli space of orthogonal pairs. We show that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is open in \mathcal{M}^{θ} . The proof is based on considering a principal homogeneous bundle over \mathcal{M}^{θ} and characterizing its restriction to $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ by means of the Sylvester theorem characterizing positive Hermitian matrices. This describes, in principal, the strict polynomial inequalities that define $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ inside \mathcal{M}^{θ} . Since point x_0 is in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and real dimension of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ equals the complex dimension of the corresponding component in $X(6,6)$, we conclude with the existence of a real 4-dimensional family of pairs of mutually unbiased bases.

Acknowledgments. This work was done during authors visit to Kavli IPMU and was supported by World

Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan. The reported study was partially supported by RFBR, research projects 13-01-00234, 14-01-00416 and 15-51-50045. The article was prepared within the framework of a subsidy granted to the HSE by the Government of the Russian Federation for the implementation of the Global Competitiveness Program.

2 Algebraic preliminaries

2.1 Orthogonal Cartan subalgebras

Consider a simple Lie algebra L over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero. Let K be the Killing form on L . In 1960, J.G.Thompson, in course of constructing integer quadratic lattices with interesting properties, introduced the following definitions.

Definition. Two Cartan subalgebras H_1 and H_2 in L are said to be *orthogonal* if $K(h_1, h_2) = 0$ for all $h_1 \in H_1, h_2 \in H_2$.

Definition. Decomposition of L into the direct sum of Cartan subalgebras $L = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{h+1} H_i$ is said to be *orthogonal* if H_i is orthogonal to H_j , for all $i \neq j$.

Intensive study of orthogonal decompositions has been undertaken since then (see the book [16] and references therein). For Lie algebra $sl(n)$, A.I. Kostrikin et al. arrived to the following conjecture, called *Winnie-the-Pooh Conjecture* (cf. *ibid.* where, in particular, the name of the conjecture is explained by a wordplay in the Milne's book in Russian translation).

Conjecture 1. *Lie algebra $sl(n)$ has an orthogonal decomposition if and only if $n = p^m$, for a prime number p .*

The conjecture has proved to be notoriously difficult. Even the non-existence of an orthogonal decomposition for $sl(6)$, when $n = 6$, i.e. the first number which is not a prime power, is still open. Also it is important to find the maximal number of pairwise orthogonal Cartan subalgebras in $sl(n)$ for any given n as well as to classify them up to obvious symmetries.

We recall an interpretation of the problem in terms of systems of minimal projectors and its relation to representation theory of Temperley-Lieb algebras

Let $sl(V)$ be the Lie algebra of traceless operators in V . Killing form is given by the trace of product of operators. A Cartan subalgebra H in V defines a unique maximal set of minimal orthogonal projectors in V . Indeed, H can be extended to the Cartan subalgebra H' in $gl(V)$ spanned by H and the identity operator E . Rank 1 projectors in H' are pairwise orthogonal and comprise the required set. We say that these projectors are *associated* to H .

If p is a minimal projector in H' , then trace of p is 1, hence, $p - \frac{1}{n}E$ is in H . If projectors p and q are associated to orthogonal Cartan subalgebras, then

$$\text{Tr}(p - \frac{1}{n}E)(q - \frac{1}{n}E) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\text{Tr}pq = \frac{1}{n}. \tag{1}$$

We say that a pair of minimal projectors is *algebraically unbiased* if it satisfies this equation.

Therefore, an orthogonal pair of Cartan subalgebras is in one-to-one correspondence with two maximal sets of minimal orthogonal projectors such that every pair of projectors from different sets is algebraically unbiased. Similarly, orthogonal decompositions of $sl(n)$ correspond to $n + 1$ pairwise algebraically unbiased sets of minimal orthogonal projectors. In the analysis of the problem, it is worthwhile to consider not only maximal sets of orthogonal projectors, but also study pairwise unbiasedness for various subsets of maximal sets. This suggests to consider the representation theory of reduced Temperley-Lieb algebras of arbitrary graphs with no loop, which we describe in the next section.

2.2 Reduced Temperley-Lieb algebras

Let Γ be a connected simply laced graph with no loop (i.e. no edge with coinciding ends). Denote by $V(\Gamma)$ and $E(\Gamma)$ the sets of vertices and edges of the graph. Let F be a field of characteristic zero.

Fix $r \in F^*$. We define reduced Temperley-Lieb algebra $B_r(\Gamma)$ as a unital algebra over F with generators x_i numbered by vertices $i \in V(\Gamma)$. They subject relations:

- $x_i^2 = x_i$, for every i in $V(\Gamma)$,
- $x_i x_j x_i = r x_i$, $x_j x_i x_j = r x_j$, if there is an edge (i, j) in Γ ,
- $x_i x_j = x_j x_i = 0$, if there is no edge (i, j) in Γ .

If we replace the last relation by $x_i x_j = x_j x_i$ (under the same condition on (i, j)), we get the standard Temperley-Lieb algebra $TL_r(\Gamma)$. It follows that $B_r(\Gamma)$ is a quotient of Temperley-Lieb algebra $TL_r(\Gamma)$ of graph Γ . In its turn Temperley-Lieb algebra is a quotient of Hecke algebra of the graph, hence algebra $B_r(\Gamma)$ is a special quotient of Hecke algebra (see [1]). Thus representation theory of $B_r(\Gamma)$ is a part of representation theory of Hecke algebras of graphs. Note that the representation theory of $B_r(\Gamma)$ is difficult, and the measure of difficulty is the rank of the first homology of the graph as a topological space. Clearly, any automorphism of graph Γ induces an automorphism of algebra $B_r(\Gamma)$.

The condition on two minimal projectors to be algebraically unbiased (1) can be reformulated as algebraic relations:

$$pqp = \frac{1}{n}p, \quad qpq = \frac{1}{n}q.$$

It follows from section 2.1 that a pair of orthogonal Cartan subalgebras in Lie algebra $sl(n)$ defines a representation of $B_{\frac{1}{n}}(\Gamma_{n,n})$ where $\Gamma_{n,n}$ is a full bipartite graph with n vertices in both rows, and every generator x_i is represented by a rank 1 projector. Generators in one row correspond to the system of orthogonal projectors related to one Cartan subalgebra. Since the sum of all minimal projectors in one system is the identity matrix, the representation descends to a representation of the algebra

$$B_{n,n} = B_{\frac{1}{n}}(\Gamma_{n,n}) / (\sum_i p_i - 1, \sum_j q_j - 1),$$

where p_i 's are idempotents corresponding to one row and q_j 's to the other one. Representations of $B_{n,n}$ where every generating idempotent is presented by a minimal projector are in one-to-one correspondence with orthogonal pairs of Cartan subalgebras in $sl(n)$. Moduli of 6-dimensional representations for $B_{6,6}$ is the central object of this paper.

It is instructive to think about $B_r(\Gamma)$ as a *homotope* of the path algebra of the quiver (see below).

2.3 The path algebra of a graph

Let again Γ be a simply-laced graph with no loop. Consider it as a topological space. Let $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$ be the Poincare groupoid of graph Γ , i.e. a category with objects vertices of the graph and morphisms homotopic classes of paths. Composition of morphisms is given by concatenation of paths.

Denote by $F\Gamma$ the algebra over F with a free F -basis numbered by morphisms in $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$ and multiplication induced by concatenation of paths (when it makes sense, and multiplication is zero when it does not). Let e_i be the element of $F\Gamma$ which is the constant path at vertex i . Any oriented edge (ij) can be interpreted as a morphism in $\mathcal{P}(\Gamma)$, hence it gives an element l_{ij} in $F\Gamma$. These are the generators. The defining relations are:

- $e_i e_j = \delta_{ij} e_i$, $e_i l_{jk} = \delta_{ij} l_{ik}$, $l_{jk} e_i = \delta_{ki} l_{jk}$;
- $l_{ij} l_{ji} = e_i$, $l_{ji} l_{ij} = e_j$, $l_{ij} l_{km} = 0$, if $j \neq k$.

We consider $F\Gamma$ as an algebra with unit:

$$1 = \sum_{i \in V(\Gamma)} e_i.$$

Let Γ be in addition a connected graph. Then the category of representations for $F\Gamma$ and for the fundamental group of the graph are equivalent. To see this, fix $t \in V(\Gamma)$. Denote by $F[\pi(\Gamma, t)]$ the group algebra of the fundamental group $\pi(\Gamma, t)$. Consider projective $F\Gamma$ - module $P_t = F\Gamma e_t$. Clearly, P_t is a $F\Gamma$ - $F[\pi(\Gamma, t)]$ - bimodule. Note that P_t are isomorphic as left $F\Gamma$ -modules for all choices of vertex t . Indeed, the right multiplication by an element corresponding to a path starting at t_1 and ending at t_2 give an isomorphism $P_{t_1} \simeq P_{t_2}$.

Bimodule P_t induces a Morita equivalence between $F\Gamma$ and $F[\pi(\Gamma, t)]$. Thus, the categories $F\Gamma - \text{mod}$ and $F[\pi(\Gamma, t)] - \text{mod}$ are equivalent. Moreover, algebra $F\Gamma$ is isomorphic to the matrix algebra over $F[\pi(\Gamma, t)]$, with the size of (square) matrices equal to $|V(\Gamma)|$.

Mutually inverse functors that induce an equivalence between categories $F\Gamma - \text{mod}$ and $F[\pi(\Gamma, t)] - \text{mod}$ are:

$$V \mapsto P_t \otimes_{F[\pi(\Gamma, t)]} V, \quad W \mapsto \text{Hom}_{F\Gamma}(P_t, W). \quad (2)$$

In order to define an isomorphism $F\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Mat}_n(F[\pi(\Gamma, t)])$, fix a system of paths $\{\gamma_i\}$ connecting the vertex t with every vertex i . For any element $\pi \in F[\pi(\Gamma, t)]$ consider an element $\gamma_i^{-1} \pi \gamma_j$ in $F\Gamma$. The homomorphism is defined by the assignment:

$$\gamma_i^{-1} \pi \gamma_j \mapsto \pi \cdot E_{ij},$$

where E_{ij} stands for the elementary matrix with the only nontrivial entry 1 at (ij) -th place. This is clearly a well-defined ring isomorphism.

The fundamental group $\pi(\Gamma, t)$ is free with the number of generators equal to the rank of the first homology of the graph regarded as a topological space.

2.4 Homotopes and reduced Temperley-Lieb algebras

Recall the definition of homotope. Given a unital algebra A and an element $\Delta \in A$, one can define a new algebra structure on A by the multiplication:

$$a \circ b = a\Delta b.$$

The new algebra might not have a unit. For this reason we adjoin a unit to it and denote the new algebra by B :

$$B = F \cdot 1_B \oplus B^+,$$

where B^+ is the two-sided ideal in B which is A as a vector space with the new multiplication. We say that B is the *homotope* over A with respect to Δ .

Algebraic properties of homotopes and their general representation theory is available in [1].

Consider again a simply laced graph Γ with no loop. Fix $r \in F^*$. The (*generalized*) *Laplace operator of a graph* Γ is an element Δ in the algebra $F\Gamma$ of Poincare groupoid of the graph:

$$\Delta = 1 + \sqrt{r} \sum l_{ij}, \quad (3)$$

where the sum is taken over all oriented edges.

Consider algebra $F\Gamma_\Delta = F \cdot 1 \oplus F\Gamma_\Delta^+$, the unital homotope over $F\Gamma$ with respect to element Δ . Note that the algebra is independent of the choice of the square root of r . Denote by x_i 's the elements in $F\Gamma_\Delta^+$ that correspond to e_i 's in $F\Gamma$. The following theorem realizes $B_r(\Gamma)$ as a unital homotope over the Poincare groupoid $F\Gamma$.

Theorem 2. [1] *There is a unique isomorphism of algebras and maximal ideals in them:*

$$B_r(\Gamma) \cong F\Gamma_\Delta, \quad B_r^+(\Gamma) \cong F\Gamma_\Delta^+, \quad (4)$$

that takes x_i into e_i .

This theorem allows us to relate moduli spaces of representations of $B_r(\Gamma)$ with the moduli spaces of the path algebra of the graph. Since the latter algebra is Morita equivalent to the fundamental group of the graph, the link to the representation theory of the free group is implied.

2.5 Algebra $A(n)$ and Morita equivalence

Let us define deformed preprojective algebra $\Pi_{\vec{\lambda}}(Q)$ of a free-loop quiver Q . Denote by Q_0 and Q_1 the sets of vertices and arrows of Q respectively. Let us construct a *double* quiver Q^d , that is to each arrow $a \in Q_1$ we add an opposite arrow $a^* \in Q_1^d$. Define commutator c as element $\sum_{a \in Q_1} [a, a^*] \in FQ^d$. For the vector $\vec{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in F^m, m = |Q_0|$, we define deformed preprojective algebra as follows:

$$\Pi_{\vec{\lambda}}(Q) = FQ^d / \langle c - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i e_i \rangle \quad (5)$$

Fix $r_i \in F^*, i = 1, \dots, n$. Consider star quiver \mathcal{Q} with one central vertex and n vertices at the boundary. The central vertex is connected with every vertex on the boundary by one out-bound arrow. Let vector $\vec{\lambda}$ be $(-r_1, \dots, -r_n, 1), \sum_{i=1}^n r_i = k$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $-r_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, corresponds to the vertices on the boundary and 1 corresponds to the central vertex.

Consider algebra $A(n)$ with generators P, q_1, \dots, q_n and relations:

$$P^2 = P, q_i^2 = q_i, q_i P q_i = r_i q_i, \sum_{i=1}^n q_i = 1. \quad (6)$$

Proposition 3. *Algebra $A(n)$ is Morita equivalent to the deformed preprojective algebra $\Pi_{\vec{\lambda}}(\mathcal{Q})$.*

Denote by $Y(n)$ the GIT moduli space of n -dimensional $A(n)$ -representations where P is presented by a projector of rank k and idempotents q_j are represented by projectors of rank 1. The above proposition allows us to apply results of Crawley-Boevey [6], [7]. By checking his assumptions for the star quiver, we get that variety $Y(n)$ is irreducible and has dimension $2(n - k - 1)(k - 1)$.

2.6 Coproducts of algebras and moduli of representations

Consider the quotient algebra

$$B_{k,n} = B_{\frac{1}{n}}(\Gamma_{k,n}) / (\sum q_j - 1),$$

where q_j 's are idempotents corresponding to the vertices of the row of length n in the bipartite graph $\Gamma_{k,n}$. A decomposition of the set of vertices in one row of the graph $\Gamma_{k,n}$ into two disjoint subsets with k and $n - k$ elements in each defines two subalgebras $B_{k,n}$ and $B_{n-k,n}$ in algebra $B_{n,n}$. The intersection of this two subalgebras in $B_{n,n}$ is identified with algebra $A(n)$. The importance of algebra $A(n)$ for us is explained by the following proposition.

Proposition 4. *Algebra $B_{n,n}$ is a fiber coproduct of $B_{k,n}$ and $B_{n-k,n}$ over $A(n)$.*

For algebra A , denote by $\text{Rep}_n A$ the affine variety parameterizing n -dimensional representations of A . The above proposition implies:

Corollary 5. *For every positive l , we have the fiber product decomposition:*

$$\mathrm{Rep}_l B_{n,n} = \mathrm{Rep}_l B_{k,n} \times_{\mathrm{Rep}_l A(n)} \mathrm{Rep}_l B_{n-k,n}$$

Denote by $\mathcal{M}_n A = \mathrm{Rep}_n A / GL(n)$, the GIT moduli space of A -representations. Unfortunately, the fiber coproduct decompositions for algebras does not imply fiber product decompositions for moduli spaces of representations, primarily due to the presence of nontrivial automorphisms of representations.

Denote $X(k, n) = \mathcal{M}_n B_{k,n}$ and $Y(n) = \mathcal{M}_n A(n)$. Consider the open subset $Y(n)_o$ in $Y(n)$ of points corresponding to irreducible representations. Let $X(k, n)_o$ be the open subset in $X(k, n)$ of points corresponding to $B_{k,n}$ -representations that restrict to irreducible $A(n)$ -representations.

Proposition 6. *We have:*

$$X(n, n)_o = X(k, n)_o \times_{Y(n)_o} X(n - k, n)_o$$

3 Moduli spaces of representations for subgraphs of graph $\Gamma_{6,6}$

3.1 Representation moduli spaces X , Y and S

Let us consider the full bipartite graph $\Gamma_{3,3}$ with 3 vertices in both rows. Denote by $p_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ (respectively, by $q_j, j = 1, 2, 3$) the idempotents in $B_{\frac{1}{6}}(\Gamma_{3,3})$ corresponding to vertices in the first (respectively, second) row of the graph. Let $X = X_{3,3}$ be the GIT moduli space of 6-dimensional representations for the algebra $B_{\frac{1}{6}}(\Gamma_{3,3})$ where all idempotents p_i and q_j are presented by projectors of rank 1.

One can check that $X \simeq (F^*)^4$. To this end, one can interpret algebra $B_{\frac{1}{6}}(\Gamma_{3,3})$ as a *homotope* of the path algebra of the graph (see section 2 and [1]). Homotope B over an algebra A has a canonical maximal two-sided ideal B^+ , which is endowed with the left module structure of A that commutes with the right action of B (see subsection 2.4). This allows us to consider functor $\mathrm{Hom}_B(B^+, -) : \mathrm{mod} B \rightarrow \mathrm{mod} A$.

Applying this general theory to $B_{\frac{1}{6}}(\Gamma_{3,3})$ as a homotope of the path algebra $F\Gamma_{3,3}$ of the graph, and taking into account the fact that $F\Gamma_{3,3}$ is Morita equivalent to the group algebra of the fundamental group of the graph, which is a free group in 4 generators, implies that the above functor has an interpretation as a functor that takes $B_{\frac{1}{6}}(\Gamma_{3,3})$ -modules to representations of the fundamental group. Moreover, the representations that are parameterized by X are taken to representations of dimension 1. The moduli space of the latter is $(F^*)^4$, hence the map $X \rightarrow (F^*)^4$. One can see that the map is one-to-one on closed points, due to interpretation of closed points as equivalence classes of representations. Thus the map is a birational morphism. Since $(F^*)^4$ is smooth, in particular, normal, it follows that the map is an isomorphism.

Algebra A_3 has generators P and $q_j, j = 1, 2, 3$, satisfying relations $P^2 = P$, $q_j^2 = q_j$ and $q_j P q_j = \frac{1}{2} q_j$. This algebra is endowed with an *involution* σ , which is of particular importance for us. It is given by $\sigma : P \mapsto 1 - P$. Let Y be the GIT moduli space of 6-dimensional

representations of A_3 in which P is represented by a projector of rank 3 and q_j 's by projectors of rank 1. This is a 4-dimensional variety.

The algebra homomorphism $A_3 \rightarrow B_{\frac{1}{6}}(\Gamma_{3,3})$ given on generators $P \mapsto \sum p_i$ and $q_j \mapsto q_j$ defines a map $f : X_{3,3} \rightarrow Y$, which is in fact a quasi-finite map of degree 12.

We will also consider algebra C with generators P and Q and relations $P^2 = P$, $Q^2 = Q$. The moduli space of 6 dimensional representations for this algebra where both P and Q are represented by projectors of rank 3 and $\text{Tr}PQ = \frac{3}{2}$, is denoted by S . It has dimension 2. We have a morphism $g : Y \rightarrow S$ defined by the algebra homomorphism $C \rightarrow A_3$ that takes $P \mapsto P$ and $Q \mapsto \sum q_j$.

We will consider another copy of A_3 with generators denoted by Q and $p_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, which play the roles of P and $q_j, j = 1, 2, 3$, respectively, in the first copy. Then we have a following commutative square of algebras, where we denote algebras together with their generators:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & B_{\frac{1}{6}}(\Gamma_{3,3})(p_1, p_2, p_3; q_1, q_2, q_3) & \\
 \nearrow & & \nwarrow \\
 A_3(Q; p_1, p_2, p_3) & & A_3(P; q_1, q_2, q_3) \\
 \nwarrow & C(P; Q) & \nearrow
 \end{array} \tag{7}$$

In the north-west pointed arrows of this diagram, P is taken to $\sum p_i$ and, in the north-east pointed arrows, Q goes to $\sum q_j$. We also have the induced commutative square of moduli spaces:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & X & \\
 f \circ \tau \swarrow & & \searrow f \\
 Y & & Y \\
 & \searrow & \swarrow \\
 & S &
 \end{array} \tag{8}$$

where τ is an involution on X which comes from the involution on algebra $B_{\frac{1}{6}}(\Gamma_{3,3})$ defined by the exchange of p_i with q_i , for $i = 1, 2, 3$.

Let us introduce functions u_1, u_2 on Y :

$$u_1 = 6^2(\text{Tr}Pq_1Pq_2 + \text{Tr}Pq_1Pq_3 + \text{Tr}Pq_2Pq_3), \tag{9}$$

$$u_2 = 6^3(\text{Tr}Pq_1Pq_2Pq_3 + \text{Tr}Pq_1Pq_3Pq_2), \tag{10}$$

One can easily check that u_1 is $\text{Tr}PQPQ$ up to a constant multiplier, while u_2 can be expressed as a linear combination of $\text{Tr}PQPQPQ$, $\text{Tr}PQPQ$ and the unit. It follows that u_1 and u_2 are well-defined regular functions on S , moreover, they generate the algebra of functions $F[S]$.

3.2 Space \mathcal{U}

Now we consider a new function on Y :

$$u_3 = (6^2 \text{Tr} P q_1 P q_2 - 1)(6^2 \text{Tr} P q_2 P q_3 - 1)(6^2 \text{Tr} P q_3 P q_1 - 1). \quad (11)$$

We have the 3-dimensional affine space $\mathcal{U} = \text{Spec} F[u_1, u_2, u_3]$. It is endowed with natural surjective maps: $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow S$ and $\Theta : Y \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. The variety \mathcal{U} is important for us, because many calculations that we perform are done relatively over \mathcal{U} . It would be interesting to find a representation theoretic meaning for \mathcal{U} .

Proposition 7. *Consider two systems of orthogonal projectors (p_1, p_2, p_3) and (q_1, q_2, q_3) of rank 1 in a vector space, satisfying condition $\text{Tr} p_i q_j = \frac{1}{6}$. Let $P = p_1 + p_2 + p_3$ and $Q = q_1 + q_2 + q_3$. Then the following identity holds:*

$$\prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,3\}} (6^2 \text{Tr}(P q_i P q_j) - 1) = \prod_{(i,j) \in \{1,2,3\}} (6^2 \text{Tr}(Q p_i Q p_j) - 1). \quad (12)$$

This proposition together with above remarks on u_1 and u_2 , allows us to extend diagram (8) to the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X & \\ f \circ \tau \swarrow & & \searrow f \\ Y & & Y \\ \Theta \searrow & & \swarrow \Theta \\ & \mathcal{U} & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & S & \end{array} \quad (13)$$

The induced map $X \rightarrow Y \times_{\mathcal{U}} Y$ is an embedding. Variety $Y \times_{\mathcal{U}} Y$ is a divisor in $Y \times_S Y$, $\dim Y \times_{\mathcal{U}} Y = 5$, $\dim Y \times_S Y = 6$.

Let S_3 be the group of permutations in 3 elements. We consider variety $X' = X/(S_3 \times S_3)$, where the action of $S_3 \times S_3$ on X is induced by the action on $B_{\frac{1}{6}}(\Gamma_{3,3})$ by independent permutation of p_i 's and q_j 's. Similarly $Y' = Y/S_3$, where S_3 acts on A_3 by permuting q_j 's, hence the action on Y . We have the induced maps $X' \rightarrow Y' \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$.

Proposition 8. [3] *The fiber of the composite map $X' \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ over a generic closed point $u \in \mathcal{U}$ is a disjoint union of two isomorphic elliptic curves, while the fiber of $Y' \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is just one elliptic curve. The map $X' \rightarrow Y'$ maps two components of the fiber of X' over u isomorphically to the fiber of Y' over u .*

3.3 Representation moduli space $X(3, 6)$

Let us consider the full bipartite graph $\Gamma_{3,6}$ with 3 vertices in the first row and 6 vertices in the other one. Denote by $p_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ (respectively, by $q_j, j = 1, \dots, 6$), the idempotents in

$B_{\frac{1}{6}}(\Gamma_{3,6})$ corresponding to vertices in the first (respectively, second) row of the graph. Consider algebra $B_{3,6}$, the quotient of $B_{\frac{1}{6}}(\Gamma_{3,6})$ by the two-sided ideal generated by $\sum q_j - 1$. Let $X(3,6)$ be the GIT moduli space of 6-dimensional representations of algebra $B_{3,6}$ where all idempotents p_i and q_j are represented by projectors of rank 1.

Consider the map $X(3,6) \rightarrow X$ induced by the algebra homomorphism $B_{\frac{1}{6}}(\Gamma_{3,3}) \rightarrow B_{3,6}$ defined by $p_i \mapsto p_i$ and $q_j \mapsto q_j$. We will also consider a second copy of $B_{\frac{1}{6}}(\Gamma_{3,3})$ with generators $p_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ and $q_j, j = 4, 5, 6$ and a second map $X_{3,6} \rightarrow X$ induced by the similar algebra homomorphism $B_{\frac{1}{6}}(\Gamma_{3,3}) \rightarrow B_{3,6}$ defined by $p_i \mapsto p_i$ and $q_j \mapsto q_j$. By combining with two maps $f, \sigma \circ f : X \rightarrow Y$, we obtain a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & X(3,6) & \\
 p_2 \swarrow & & \searrow p_1 \\
 X & & X \\
 \sigma \circ f \searrow & & \swarrow f \\
 & Y &
 \end{array} \tag{14}$$

Theorem 9. *Variety $X(3,6)$ is irreducible of dimension 4. Variety $X \times_Y X$ has only one irreducible component of dimension 4 and all the other components of lower dimension. The map $h : X(3,6) \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ induced by the above diagram establishes a birational isomorphism of $X(3,6)$ with the 4-dimensional irreducible component of $X \times_Y X$.*

Note that it is quite plausible that $X \times_Y X$ is in fact also irreducible, which would mean that map h is birational.

3.4 Representation moduli spaces $Y(6)$ and $X(6,6)$

Consider algebra $A(6)$ with generators P and $q_j, j = 1, \dots, 6$, and relations:

$$P^2 = P, q_j^2 = q_j, q_j P q_j = \frac{1}{2} q_j, \sum q_j = 1.$$

Algebra $A(6)$ is endowed with the involution $P \mapsto 1 - P$ and $q_j \mapsto q_j$. Denote by $Y(6)$ the GIT moduli space of 6-dimensional representations of algebra $A(6)$ where P is represented by a projector of rank 3 and idempotents q_j are represented by projectors of rank 1. The involution on $A(6)$ induces an involution $\sigma' : Y(6) \rightarrow Y(6)$.

Algebra $A(n)$ is Morita equivalent to the deformed preprojective algebra of the star graph Q with one central vertex and n vertices on the boundary, the central vertex being connected with every boundary vertex by one edge (see section 2.5). According to Crawley-Boevey result [6], [7], this implies that variety $Y(6)$ is irreducible and has dimension 8.

There is an algebra homomorphism $A(6) \rightarrow B_{3,6}$ that takes P to $\sum p_i$. It defines map $g : X(3,6) \rightarrow Y(6)$. Consider the action of group S_3 on algebra $B_{3,6}$ which permutes generators p_1, p_2, p_3 . Clearly, g is an S_3 -invariant map. Recall, that according to theorem 9 variety $X(3,6)/S_3$ is irreducible.

Theorem 10. *The morphism $g : X(3, 6)/S_3 \rightarrow Y(6)$ maps $X(3, 6)/S_3$ birationally on its image in $Y(6)$.*

The proof of this theorem heavily uses the fact established in proposition 8 that the fiber of $X/S_3 \times S_3$ over a generic point \mathcal{U} is a disjoint union of two copies of an elliptic curve. This allows to use geometry of elliptic curves and elliptic fibrations.

Consider a second copy of algebra $B_{3,6}$ whose generators we denote by (p_4, p_5, p_6) and $(q_j, j = 1, \dots, 6)$. The corresponding moduli space of representations of this algebra is again identified with $X(3, 6)$.

Now consider algebra $B_{6,6}$ which is the quotient of algebra $B_{\frac{1}{6}}(\Gamma_{6,6})$ with generators $p_i, i = 1, \dots, 6$ and $q_j, j = 1, \dots, 6$ by the two-sided ideal generated by elements $\sum p_i - 1$ and $\sum q_j - 1$. Let $X_{6,6}$ be the GIT moduli space of 6-dimensional representations of algebra $B_{6,6}$ where all idempotents p_i and q_j are represented by projectors of rank 1.

Note that the above two copies of algebra $B_{3,6}$ are mapped into algebra $B_{6,6}$ by sending generators p_i to p_i and q_j to q_j . We have chosen the indices of the generators in the two copies in such a way that they agreed with the indices of the generators in algebra $B_{6,6}$. These two maps induce two maps $X(6, 6) \rightarrow X(3, 6)$. All the above maps can be combined into a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & X(6, 6) & \\
 \text{pr}_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \text{pr}_2 \\
 X(3, 6) & & X(3, 6) \\
 \searrow \sigma' \circ g & & \swarrow g \\
 & Y(6) &
 \end{array} \tag{15}$$

Lemma 11. *There exists a point x_0 in $X(6, 6)$ such that the tangent space T_{x_0} at x_0 has dimension 4, the differentials at x_0 of maps pr_1 and pr_2 are isomorphisms of T_{x_0} with the tangent spaces at the images of x_0 , and such that the differential of the map $s : X(6, 6) \rightarrow Y(6)$ induces an embedding of T_{x_0} to the tangent space to $Y(6)$ at $s(x_0)$. The point $s(x_0) \in Y(6)$ corresponds to an irreducible representation of $A(6)$.*

Proof. Recall that the *standard pair* (see [14]) of Cartan subalgebras in $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$ consists of the diagonal Cartan subalgebra H_0 in a fixed basis $\{e_i\}$ and the subalgebra H_1 which is linearly spanned by (P, \dots, P^{n-1}) , where P is the operator of the cyclic permutation of the basis vectors $e_i \mapsto e_{i+1 \bmod n}$.

The transition matrix A from basis $\{e_i\}$ to the basis $\{f_j\}$ related to the second Cartan subalgebra has the following coefficients:

$$A = \{a_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \epsilon^{(i-1)(j-1)}\}, i, j = 1, \dots, n \tag{16}$$

where ϵ is a primitive root $\epsilon^n = 1$.

One can calculate the tangent space to $X_{6,6}$ at the point corresponding to the standard pair and check that it has dimension 4 (cf. [24]).

Let us exchange the 3-rd and the 4-th columns of the matrix A . This corresponds to reordering of projectors p_i 's, thus changing the projections $X(6,6) \rightarrow X(3,6)$. It is a direct check to show that all statements of the lemma are satisfied for this choice of x_0 and projections. \square

Theorem 12. *The induced morphism $X(6,6) \rightarrow X(3,6) \times_{Y(6)} X(3,6)$ establishes a one-to-one correspondence between the set of irreducible components of $X(6,6)$ and $X(3,6) \times_{Y(6)} X(3,6)$ of dimension greater than or equal 4 and birational isomorphisms between corresponding components.*

The proof in [3] is based on calculation of the locus of points in $X(3,6) \times_{Y(6)} X(3,6)$ which has fiber for $X(6,6) \rightarrow X(3,6) \times_{Y(6)} X(3,6)$ different from just one point and showing that it has dimension less than 4.

4 A 4-dimensional component in $X(6,6)$

4.1 Invariance of the image under an involution

The main technical result that implies the existence of a 4-dimensional component in $X(6,6)$ is the following statement of independent interest.

Theorem 13. *The image of $X(3,6)$ under map $g : X(3,6) \rightarrow Y(6)$ has a non-empty Zariski subset which is invariant under involution σ' .*

We describe the main steps of the proof of theorem 13.

According to theorem 9 variety $X(3,6)$ is irreducible and is embedded birationally onto the only 4-dimensional irreducible component of $X \times_Y X$. Consider the map $h : X \times_Y X \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$.

Proposition 14. *The image under h of the 4-dimensional irreducible component of $X \times_Y X$ has a non-empty Zariski open subset which is invariant under involution (σ, σ) .*

The map $X(3,6) \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$ factors through the quotient map $X(3,6) \rightarrow X(3,6)/S_3$, where the action of S_3 on $X(3,6)$ is induced by permutations of $p_i, i = 1, 2, 3$.

Proposition 15. *The induced morphism $X(3,6)/S_3 \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$ isomorphically maps a Zariski open subset in $X(3,6)/S_3$ into $Y \times_S Y$.*

Propositions 14 and 15 imply that involution (σ, σ) induces an involution π on a Zariski open subset of $X(3,6)/S_3$.

Map g allows factorization through the quotient $X(3,6) \rightarrow X(3,6)/S_3$, thus inducing a map $g : X(3,6)/S_3 \rightarrow Y(6)$.

Proposition 16. $g\pi = \sigma'g$.

Proof. First, we prove that the involution π commutes with the action of S_6 on $X(3,6)/S_3$ that is induced by the permutations of $q_j, j = 1, \dots, 6$, in algebra $B(3,6)$. Consider the product $Y \times_S Y$ which is defined by the two maps $Y \rightarrow S$ that are induced by the maps $C \rightarrow A_3$ defined by $Q \mapsto q_1 + q_2 + q_3$ and by $Q \mapsto 1 - q_1 - q_2 - q_3$.

Let us construct a morphism $Y(6) \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$. It corresponds to a decomposition of the set $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$ into a disjoint union of two subsets by 3 elements in each and a choice of ordering of elements in each subset. We can assign two algebra homomorphisms $A_3 \rightarrow A(6)$ to this combinatorial data. The first map takes idempotents q_j 's of A_3 to q_j 's with indices in the first subset, ordered in the prescribed way, and similarly for the second homomorphism. Together, these homomorphisms define a morphism $Y(6) \rightarrow Y \times Y$, which is easily seen to descend to a morphism $Y(6) \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$. When composed with g , this morphism gives us a morphism $X(3,6)/S_3 \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$.

We choose two particular decompositions of the set $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$ into a disjoint union of two subsets. One is $((1, 2, 3), (4, 5, 6))$ and the other one is $((1, 2, 4), (3, 5, 6))$. As above they define us two morphisms $X(3,6)/S_3 \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$. Let us consider two functions on the variety $X(3,6)/S_3$:

$$z_1 = \text{Tr} P q_1 P q_2, \quad z_2 = \text{Tr} P q_5 P q_6.$$

Let $\mathcal{Z} = \text{Spec} F[z_1, z_2]$. The natural morphism $X(3,6)/S_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$ factors through both morphisms $X(3,6)/S_3 \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$. Hence we get a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X(3,6)/S_3 & \\ \swarrow & & \searrow \\ Y \times_S Y & & Y \times_S Y \\ \searrow & & \swarrow \\ & \mathcal{Z} & \end{array} \tag{17}$$

Involution (σ, σ) acts along the fibers of both morphisms $Y \times_S Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$. Denote by π and π' the involutions on $X(3,6)/S_3$, where π was defined above, and it is attached to one of the morphisms $X(3,6)/S_3 \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$, while π' is similarly attached to the other morphism $X(3,6)/S_3 \rightarrow Y \times_S Y$. Both π and π' act along the fiber of the map $X(3,6)/S_3 \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}$. Therefore, the product $\pi\pi'$ also acts along the fiber of the same map. The fibers of the map over a generic point are compactified to a surface of general type. There $\pi\pi'$ is a birational automorphisms of the surface of general type. The group of birational automorphisms of the variety of general type is finite (cf. [12]). Therefore, element $\pi\pi'$ is of finite order. One can find a smooth fixed point of $\pi\pi'$ on $X(3,6)/S_3$ such that $\pi\pi'$ acts by identity on the tangent space at this point. The point is a projection to $X(3,6)/S_3$ of the point in $X(6,6)$ corresponding to the 'standard orthogonal pair' of Cartan subalgebras in $sl(6, \bar{F})$. Since $\pi\pi'$ is of finite order it follows that it is identity on the whole $X(3,6)/S_3$. Therefore, $\pi = \pi'$.

This implies that π commutes with transposition $(34) \in S_6$. Clearly, π commutes with all elements in S_6 which permute inside the subsets $(1, 2, 3)$ and $(4, 5, 6)$. Together with transposition (34) they generate the whole group S_6 . Thus π commutes with it.

Now we consider the product of as many copies of $Y \times_S Y$ as there exist decompositions of set $(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$ into a disjoint union of two subsets by 3 elements in each and a choice of ordering of elements in each subset. Taking the product of above maps for each individual copy of $Y \times_S Y$ defines a morphism $\psi : Y(6) \rightarrow \prod(Y \times_S Y)$. One can check that this map is birationally an embedding.

Variety $\prod(Y \times_S Y)$ has an involution σ'' defined by the action of (σ, σ) on every component $Y \times_S Y$. It is obvious from the definition that $\sigma''\psi = \psi\sigma'$. Denote $\phi = \psi g : X(3, 6)/S_3 \rightarrow \prod(Y \times_S Y)$. Since π commutes with the action of S_6 , it follows that $\sigma''\phi = \phi\pi$.

As g and ϕ are both birationally embeddings, it follows that $g\pi = \sigma'g$.

□

It would be nice to have a more conceptual proof for this statement.

Clearly, proposition 16 implies the proof of theorem 13.

4.2 The main algebraic geometric result

Theorem 17. *There exists a 4-dimensional irreducible component of $X(6, 6)$ which contains the point x_0 constructed in Lemma 11.*

Proof. Proposition 16 implies that the variety \bar{T} which is a locus of points $(\bar{x}, \pi\bar{x})$, where \bar{x} runs over the set of points $X(3, 6)/S_3$ such that $\pi\bar{x}$ is well defined, is a subvariety in $X(3, 6)/S_3 \times_{Y(6)} X(3, 6)/S_3$. Let T be its pre-image in $X(3, 6) \times_{Y(6)} X(3, 6)$. Consider the open subset $T_o \subset T$ of points which lie over the locus Y_o of irreducible representations for algebra $A(6)$. According to Proposition 6, the open subset $X(6, 6)_o$ is isomorphic to $X(3, 6)_o \times_{Y(6)_o} X(3, 6)_o$. Thus T_o is a subvariety in $X(6, 6)_o$. Note that \bar{T} is irreducible by construction, and T might have several components. By construction, \bar{T} and all components of T have dimension 4.

Now consider the point $x_0 \in X(6, 6)$ which was constructed in Lemma 11. By the lemma, x_0 lies over Y_o , i.e. it corresponds to a point in T_o under isomorphism in Proposition 6. Since the tangent space to $X(6, 6)$ at this point is 4 and T_o is of dimension 4, it follows that x_0 is a smooth point on T_o . Hence the irreducible component of T_o that contains x_0 is an irreducible component of $X(6, 6)$.

□

Since $X(6, 6)$ can be interpreted as the moduli space of orthogonal pairs in $sl(6)$, as it was explained in section 2.2, we have the following result.

Corollary 18. *There exists a 4 dimensional family of orthogonal pairs in $sl(6)$, which contains the standard pair.*

It might be instructive to reformulate Proposition 16 in terms of elementary Linear Algebra.

Proposition 19. *Let \mathcal{W} be the irreducible variety parameterizing 6×6 -matrices P of rank 3 with $\frac{1}{2}$'s on the diagonal which satisfy $P^2 = P$ and admit a decomposition into three matrices p_i of rank 1 with $\frac{1}{6}$ on the diagonal (which implies $p_i^2 = p_i$):*

$$P = p_1 + p_2 + p_3.$$

Then, for almost all $P \in \mathcal{W}$, matrix $1 - P$ is also in \mathcal{W} .

Chances are that this statement is true for all $P \in \mathcal{W}$.

5 Mutually unbiased bases

5.1 Mutually unbiased bases and system of projectors

The terminology of unbiased bases first appeared in physics.

Let V be an n dimensional complex vector space with a fixed Hermitian metric $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. Two orthonormal Hermitian bases $\{e_i\}$ and $\{f_j\}$ in V are *mutually unbiased* if, for all (i, j) ,

$$|\langle e_i, f_j \rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{n}. \quad (18)$$

There are two types of obvious transformations acting on the set of mutually unbiased bases. First, one can independently change the phase of all vectors in both bases:

$$e_j \mapsto \exp(\sqrt{-1}\alpha_j)e_j,$$

$$f_j \mapsto \exp(\sqrt{-1}\beta_j)f_j.$$

Second, one can transform all bases by a simultaneous linear transformation from $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Let $\{p_i\}$ be the orthogonal (i.e. $p_i p_j = 0$, for $i \neq j$) system of minimal projectors in V related to base $\{e_i\}$, and $\{q_j\}$ the system of minimal projectors related to base $\{f_j\}$. Since both bases are orthonormal, all projectors are Hermitian, i.e. satisfy $p_j^\dagger = p_j$ and $q_j^\dagger = q_j$. Moreover, the condition that the bases are mutually unbiased is equivalent to:

$$\text{Tr} p_i q_j = \frac{1}{n},$$

for all (i, j) . The converse is also true: two orthogonal systems of Hermitian projectors satisfying the above equation uniquely define a mutually unbiased pair of bases up to the first type of transformations, i.e. up to changing the phases of basic vectors.

It follows from section 2.1 that a pair of mutually unbiased bases defines a pair of orthogonal Cartan subalgebras in Lie algebra $sl(n, \mathbb{C})$. The requirement that projectors are Hermitian means that the pair of Cartan subalgebras is special. We will see in the next subsection that they parameterize a real submanifold in the moduli space of all pairs of Cartan subalgebras.

5.2 Moduli of mutually unbiased bases as a 'positive' real form of moduli of orthogonal pairs

Let $\bar{\mathcal{X}}$ be the (singular) algebraic variety over \mathbb{C} that parameterizes all pairs of orthogonal Cartan subalgebras in Lie algebra $sl(V)$, $V \simeq \mathbb{C}^n$. Since this is identified with the variety $\text{Rep}_n B_{n,n}$, it is an affine variety. Group $GL(V)$ acts on $\bar{\mathcal{X}}$, and the GIT quotient $\bar{\mathcal{M}} = \bar{\mathcal{X}}/GL(V)$

is the moduli space of orthogonal pairs in V . As this is a GIT factor of an affine variety, it is affine too.

As we know, an orthogonal pair is uniquely defined by a pair of orthogonal systems of minimal projectors, where any pair of projectors from different systems are algebraically unbiased. For brevity, we will call such a pair of systems of projectors by *configuration*. A configuration is defined by an n -dimensional representation of algebra $B_{n,n}$, which is known to be always irreducible (cf. [13]).

We reduce $\bar{\mathcal{X}}$ to its open subvariety \mathcal{X} of smooth points, and we denote $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{X}/GL(V)$. Let us consider the real subvariety $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}$ in \mathcal{X} which is the locus of points that correspond to algebraically unbiased pair of orthogonal systems of Hermitian projectors. The unitary group $U(n)$ acts on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and the quotient $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}/U(n)$ is the moduli of mutually unbiased bases.

Consider the involution that acts on \mathcal{X} by Hermitian conjugation of all projectors:

$$p \mapsto p^\dagger.$$

Clearly the involution is anti-holomorphic, and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the locus of stable points of the involution. It is easy to check that the involution descends to an involution θ on \mathcal{M} and that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is embedded into the stable locus \mathcal{M}^θ of the involution on \mathcal{M} . We will show that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is an open subset in \mathcal{M}^θ .

Let \mathbb{H} be the set of hermitian operators in V , and \mathbb{H}^\times be the open subset of invertible Hermitian operators. Define $\mathcal{Y} \subset \mathbb{H}^\times \times \mathcal{X}$ by

$$\mathcal{Y} = \{(g, \{p_i, q_j\}) \in \mathbb{H}^\times \times \mathcal{X} \mid p_i^\dagger = g^{-1}p_i g, q_i^\dagger = g^{-1}q_i g\}$$

Let $\mathbb{H}_\pm^\times \subset \mathbb{H}^\times$ be the open subset of invertible Hermitian matrices which are either positive or negative. Define $\mathcal{Y}_\pm \subset \mathcal{Y}$ the open subset of those $(g, \{p_i, q_j\})$ for which $g \in \mathbb{H}_\pm^\times$.

We consider the map $\phi : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ given by the projection to the second component of $\mathbb{H}^\times \times \mathcal{X}$ and similar map $\phi_\pm : \mathcal{Y}_\pm \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

Denote by \mathbb{R}^\times the group of non-zero real numbers. Consider group $G = \mathbb{R}^\times \times PGL(n, \mathbb{C})$ and its action on $\mathbb{H}^\times \times \mathcal{X}$ by:

$$(\alpha, h)(g, \{p_i, q_j\}) = (\alpha h g h^\dagger, \{h p_i h^{-1}, h q_j h^{-1}\}).$$

It is easy to check that \mathcal{Y} and \mathcal{Y}_\pm are preserved by this action.

Proposition 20. *\mathcal{Y} is a principal homogeneous G -bundle over \mathcal{M}^θ . Similarly, \mathcal{Y}_\pm is a principal homogeneous G -bundle over $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$.*

Proof. Let us check that the orbits of the action by \mathbb{R}^\times are fibers of the map $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. If $(g_1, \{p_i, q_j\})$ and $(g_2, \{p_i, q_j\})$ are in the fiber of $\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, then $(g_1)^{-1}g_2$ lies in the stabilizers of all projectors in configuration. Since we consider irreducible representations of $B_{n,n}$, we have by Schur lemma: $(g_1)^{-1}g_2 = \lambda \cdot 1$. Therefore,

$$g_2 = \lambda g_1,$$

where $\lambda \neq 0$, as g_2 is invertible. Since g_1 and g_2 are Hermitian, applying the Hermitian conjugation gives:

$$g_2 = \bar{\lambda}g_1.$$

Hence, $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$, i.e. $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^\times$.

As it was already mentioned, any configuration is given by an irreducible representation of $B_{n,n}$. Therefore, the action of $PGL(n, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathcal{X} is free, because the stabilizer of any configuration is a scalar matrix by Schur lemma. It follows, that the action of G on \mathcal{Y} is free.

Take a point $m \in \mathcal{M}^\theta$. A point in \mathcal{X} over it is presented by a configuration of projectors $\{p_i, q_j\}$. Since m is stable under involution σ on the quotient space \mathcal{M} , there exists $g \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$p^\dagger = g^{-1}pg,$$

for every projector p from the configuration. If we conjugate this equation, we get:

$$p = g^\dagger p^\dagger (g^\dagger)^{-1}.$$

Together, these equations implies that $g^\dagger g^{-1}$ stabilizes all projectors p involved. It follows from Schur lemma that $g^\dagger g^{-1} = \lambda \cdot 1$, for some nonzero multiplier $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence

$$g^\dagger = \lambda g.$$

By taking Hermitian dual, we have:

$$\bar{\lambda}g^\dagger = g,$$

which, when combined with the previous relation, implies:

$$|\lambda|^2 = 1.$$

It easy to see that we can replace g by αg , for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and get $g^\dagger = g$. The inverse inclusion $\phi(\mathcal{Y}) \subset \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}^\theta)$ is obvious. This proves that $\mathcal{Y}/G = \mathcal{M}^\theta$.

Now let us check that $\phi(\mathcal{Y}_\pm) \subset \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_\mathbb{R})$. Take a point $(g, \{p_i, q_j\}) \in \mathcal{Y}_\pm$. We can assume that $g > 0$, because changing the sign of G does not change the conjugation by it. For positive non-degenerated g , it is known to exist a decomposition:

$$g = v^\dagger v,$$

for some invertible operator v . Since, for all projectors p in the configuration, we have:

$$p^\dagger = g^{-1}pg = v^{-1}(v^\dagger)^{-1}pv^\dagger v,$$

it follows that $(v^\dagger)^{-1}pv^\dagger$ is self-adjoint. Hence, we can conjugate our configuration to a self-adjoint one.

Conversely, take a point $m \in \mathcal{M}_\mathbb{R}$. By definition, there exists a point in the π -fiber of it such that all projectors from its configuration are Hermitian. Let us take another point in the same fiber. Then every projector p from its configuration is conjugate to the corresponding Hermitian projector r :

$$p = h^{-1}rh,$$

where $h \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the same for all projectors p of the configuration. Since $r^\dagger = r$, we have

$$p^\dagger = h^\dagger r^\dagger (h^\dagger)^{-1} = h^\dagger r (h^\dagger)^{-1} = h^\dagger h p h^{-1} (h^\dagger)^{-1}.$$

Since $h^\dagger h$ is positive, we have $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}) \subset \phi(\mathcal{Y}_{\pm})$. \square

Corollary 21. *Subset $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{M}^\theta$ is open and is defined by a system of strict real polynomial inequalities.*

Proof. According to Sylvester theorem, positive Hermitian matrices are given by a system of n strict polynomial inequalities with real (even integer!) coefficients. Hence the open subset $\mathcal{Y}_{\pm} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ is defined by strict polynomial inequalities too. Since \mathcal{Y}_{\pm} is invariant with respect to the free G action, the inequalities descend to strict polynomial inequalities on \mathcal{M}^θ . \square

5.3 A 4-dimensional family of mutually unbiased bases

Theorem 17 together with Corollary 21 imply the existence of a 4 dimensional family of mutually unbiased bases in 6-dimensional complex space.

Theorem 22. *There exists a family of real dimension 4 of mutually unbiased bases in \mathbb{C}^6 .*

Proof. We have an anti-holomorphic involution θ on the moduli space $X(6, 6)$ of 6-dimensional representations of $B_{n,n}$. Let us restrict to the locus \mathcal{M} of smooth points in all irreducible components of $X(6, 6)$ as above. The locus of stable points of the involution on each component is a smooth real submanifold of real dimension equal to the complex dimension of the component. By theorem 17, we have a 4-dimensional irreducible component in $X(6, 6)$. Hence, we need simply to check that the stable locus of θ is not empty on the smooth part of the component.

Consider the point x_0 constructed in Lemma 11. According to Theorem 17 it is a smooth point on a 4-dimensional component of $X(6, 6)$. Since formula (16) for the transition matrix A from the bases $\{p_i\}$ to the basis $\{q_i\}$ is a unitary matrix, point x_0 is an element of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{R}}$. \square

Remark. Since the transformation matrix from one mutually unbiased bases to the other one is known to be a complex Hadamard matrix, the above theorem implies existence of a 4 dimensional family of complex Hadamard matrices of size 6×6 .

References

- [1] Bondal A., Zhdanovskiy I., Representation theory for system of projectors and discrete Laplace operator, Preprint of IPMU, IPMU13-0001.
- [2] Bondal A., Zhdanovskiy I., Symplectic geometry of unbiasedness and critical points of a potential, arXiv:1507.00081.
- [3] Bondal A., Zhdanovskiy I., Orthogonal pairs for Lie algebra $sl(6)$, Preprint of IPMU, IPMU14-0296.

- [4] Boykin P.O., Sitharam M., Tiep P.H., Wocjan P. Mutually unbiased bases and orthogonal decompositions of Lie algebras, *Quantum Inf. Comput.* 7(2007), 371-382.
- [5] de Burgh M.D., Landford N.K., Doherty A.C., Gilchrist A., Choice of measurement sets in qubit tomography, *Phys. Rev. A* 78, 052122(2008)
- [6] Crawley-Boevey W., Noncommutative deformations of Kleinian singularities, *Duke Math.J.* 92(3)(1998), pp.605-635.
- [7] Crawley-Boevey W., Geometry of the moment map for representations of quivers, *Compositio Math.* 126(2001), 257-293.
- [8] Durt T., Englert B.-G., Bengtsson I., Życzkowski K., On mutually unbiased bases, *Int.J.Quantum Inform.*, 08, 535(2010)
- [9] Filippov S.N., Man'ko V.I., Mutually unbiased bases: tomography of spin states and the star-product scheme, *Physica Scripta*, vol. 2011, T143.
- [10] Gan W.L., Ginzburg V., Deformed preprojective algebras and symplectic reflection for wreath products, *J.Algebra*, 283(2005), 350-363.
- [11] U. Haagerup, Orthogonal maximal abelian *-subalgebras of the $n \times n$ matrices and cyclic n -roots, *Operator Algebras and Quantum Field Theory (Rome)*, 1996 (Cambridge, MA: International Press) pp 296-322.
- [12] Hacon C.D., McKernan J., Xu C., On the birational automorphisms of varieties of general type, *Ann. of Math.*, vol.177(2013), pp.1077-1111.
- [13] Ivanov D.N. *Uspekhi Mat.Nauk*, 1994, Volume 49, Issue 1(225), pp. 215-216.
- [14] Kostrikin, A. I.; Kostrikin, I. A.; Ufnarovskii, V. A. Orthogonal decompositions of simple Lie algebras (type A_n). (Russian) *Analytic number theory, mathematical analysis and their applications. Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov.* 158 (1981), 105-120, 229.
- [15] Kostrikin A.I., Kostrikin I.A., Ufnarovsky V.A.; On the uniqueness of orthogonal decompositions of Lie algebras of type A_n and C_n "Matematicheskie issledovaniya" 74 (1983): 80-105.
- [16] Kostrikin A.I., Pham Huu Tiep, Orthogonal decompositions and Integral lattices, Walter de Gruyter, 1994.
- [17] Lima G., Neves L., Guzman R., Gomez E.S., Nogueira W.A.T., Delgado A., Vargas A., Saavedra C., Experimental quantum tomography of photonic qudits via mutually unbiased basis, *Optics Express*, vol.19, issue 4, pp.3542-3552(2011).
- [18] Matolcsi M., Szollosi F., Towards a classification of 6×6 complex hadamard matrices, *Open.Syst. Inf.Dyn.* 15, 93(2008).

- [19] K.Nomura, Type II Matrices of size five, Graphs and Combinatorics March 1999, Volume 15, Issue 1, pp 79-92.
- [20] qig.itp.uni-hannover.de/qiproblems/Main Page
- [21] Ruskai M.B., Some connections between frames, mutually unbiased bases, and POVM's in quantum information theory, Acta Applicandae Mathematicae 12/2009, 108(3), 709-719
- [22] Szollosi F., Complex Hadamard matrices of order 6: a four-parameter family, J. Lond. Math. Soc 85: 616-632 Part 3 (2012) arXiv:[http:1008.0632](http://arxiv.org/abs/1008.0632).
- [23] Thompson J. A conjugacy theorem for E_8 . J. Algebra, 1976, 38, N2, p.525-530.
- [24] Tadej W., Życzkowski K., Defect of a unitary matrix, Linear algebra and its applications vol.429(2008), pp.447-481.
<http://chaos.if.uj.edu.pl/~karol/hadamard/>